

Office of the President

September 5, 2006

Chairman Tom Coburn Subcommittee on Federal Financial Management 439 Hart Senate Office Building Washingon, D.C. 20510

Dear Chairman Coburn:

I am writing in response to your letter dated July 27, 2006 regarding Congressional appropriations to Washington State University (WSU).

WSU has a strong relationship with each of the eleven offices of Washington State congressional delegation and works directly with the members and their staff to identify needs which are not served by competitive grant programs. Moreover, to ensure that only those initiatives which will advance WSU strategic educational and research objectives and state or national priorities are presented to our delegation, our appropriations requests are vetted through a rigorous internal institutional process before they are advanced to the members.

Below, please find our responses to your questions:

1. Please provide a list of all appropriations received by your institution form the year 2000 to present, and the amount of assistance received.

A spreadsheet is attached with the best readily available data of direct appropriations to WSU since 2000.

2. Please provide a summary of the specific objectives or goals set to be achieved by any entity, program, project or service associated with an appropriation at your institution, and, for each appropriation, a list of accomplishments that can be attributed to the project, entity, program or service (e.g. published peer-reviewed research, etc., depending on the nature of the earmarks you institution has received.)

This question is immense in its scope and would require much more time than allowed to provide a comprehensive response. In general, however, appropriations have met articulated regional and national needs, have enabled the institution to launch strategic research and educational programs, have resulted in technologies that have been moved into commerce, resulting in jobs not only in Washington, but in other parts of the nation, and have enabled research that leads to a more secure nation.

Chairman Tom Coburn Page 2/2 September 5, 2006

3. How does your institution set a measure for standards to achieve quality and outcomes for entities, programs, projects or services receiving assistance through earmarks or appropriations?

WSU takes these processes seriously. First, we ensure that all reports required by the federal agency responsible for the distribution of direct appropriations are met. This is a contractual obligation. Next, as described above, our appropriations requests are vetted through a rigorous internal institutional process before they are presented to the delegation. Finally, WSU has implemented performance benchmarks for the institution and for each major area within the university. These benchmarks are reviewed regularly. Appropriation requests are designed to advance strategic goals, as measured by these benchmarks.

4. Does your institution have a stated policy regarding Congressional earmarks or appropriations (if so, please describe)? Does your institution have a policy regarding partnering in research projects with other universities who may have a differing policy?

No such policies are explicitly stated in official university policy documents.

5. Has your institution considered hiring a lobbyist to assist your institution in attaining familiarity with the opportunities that may exist to obtain Federal funds for research – such as the earmarking process?

Yes

6. In conclusion, do you find congressionally earmarked funds to have contributed in a substantive way to your academic institution?

Yes. As described in response to question 2, appropriations have enabled the institution to launch strategic research and educational programs, have resulted in technologies that have been moved into commerce, resulting in jobs not only in Washington, but in other parts of the nation, and have enabled research that leads to a more secure nation.

Thank you for your interest in Washington State University. If you have any further questions, please have your office contact Kristine Growdon, Director of Federal Relations or James Petersen, Vice Provost for Research.

Sincerely.

V. Lane Rawlins

President

Agriculture	A STATES   Description of the	2005	Also Funded		2 (1) to (2) (2)
CSREES - Research					
Aegilps Cylindricum (jointed goal grass)	6.2.5 Sept 200	\$359,000			1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
Aquaculture	0.1877 0.1871 0.1871 0.1871	\$770,000	WA, ID	TUTSTEEL ST	
Asparagus Technology and Production		\$250,000			
Competitiveness of Agriculture Products	1000000	\$652,000			
Cool Season Legume Research		\$569,000	WA, ID	10.0°0 ats	181 AGE
Food Security		\$401,000			
Grass Seed Cropping Systems for Sustainable Agriculture		\$454,000	WA,OR,ID	世界である。	- W
Organic Cropping		\$362,000			
Perennial Wheat		\$142,000			
Small Fruit Research	GILLOWERS CHILD	\$425,000	OR,WA,ID	1180年 1180年	
STEEP	1. (1. (1. (1. (1. (1. (1. (1. (1. (1. (	\$645,000			
Wine Grape Foundation Block	\$100/1006	\$325,000			
Wood Utilization	\$P. 103-1-1 9-59000	\$6,285,000	see 2004		
PM-10 Air Quality Study		\$390,000		1.60	
CSREES - Extension					
Diabeles Delection, Prevention		\$1,093,000			
Defense					
P3 Micro-Power Devices		\$1,436,100	Army		
Soldier Systems - Food Packaging Systems 6.2		\$1,000,000	Army		
Porous Materials Research		\$1,500,000			
CADADIC					
Energy & Water					100
Institute for Ratiochemistry					
Northwest Regional Native American project		\$250,000			
Center for Distributed Generation and Thermat				C. Carlotte	
Distribution					
Transportation					
Infrastructure Renewal Research					
Pacific Northwest Freight Mobility Research		\$500,000	WA, ND		
VAHUD					
Beach Watchers		\$250,000			

For information please confact: Kristine Growdon Director of Federal Relations, WSU 206-285-6172 growdonk@wsu.edu

Agriculture	2003	Also Funded		2001	Also Funded
CSREES - Research					
Aagilps Cylindricum (jointed goal grass)	\$383,000			,,_	
Aquaculture	\$775,000	WAND	Paragraph Adward	\$285,000	
Asparagus Technology and Production	\$280,000		100 access	000'522\$	
Competitiveness of Agriculture Products	\$680,000			000'089\$	
Cool Season Legume Research	\$336,000	ID, WA	No. 19	\$329,000 ID,WA	ID,WA
Food Ssecurity	\$450,000				
Grass Seed Cropping Systems for Sustainable Agriculture	\$457,000	\$457,000 WA, OR, 1D	SESSION DE CHEST	\$423,000	\$423,000 ID,OR,WA
Small Fruit Research	\$400,000	\$400,000 OR,WA,ID	15 365	\$325,000 OR,WA,ID	OR,WA,ID
STEEP	\$670,000				
PM-10 Air Quality Study	\$438,000			\$436,000	
CSREES - Extension					
Diabetes Detection, Prevention	\$924,000			\$926,000	
Defense					
Soldier Systems - Food Packaging Systems 6.2	\$1,700,000	Атту	FIE 10 1387 134		
CADADIC	\$1,300,000	Air Force			
Composites Development for Navy Low Rise Construction				\$2,000,000 Navy	Navy
Energy & Water					
UW & WSU Extension Forestry	\$600,000			000'006\$	
Plateau Center for American Indian Studies	\$500,000				
LHKS					
Center for Reproductive Biology	\$100,000			\$500,000	
WSU Training and Recruitment	\$50,000				
Transportation					
Transportation Research Center			[55] [55] [55]	\$1,500,000	